



Response to Requirement of Restriction of December 23, 2003 Attorney Docket No. PC10228B Ser. No. 09/863,976

Thereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 23rd day of January, 2004.

Bv	Martha C. Sikilio	
	(Signature of person mailing)	
	Mariha C. Sibilio	
	(Typed or printed name of person)	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Farzan Rastinejad, et al.

APPLICATION NO.: 09/863,976 : Examiner: C. D. Muirhei

FILING DATE: May 23, 2001 : Group Art Unit: 1614

TITLE: METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR

RESTORING CONFORMATIONAL

STABILITY OF A PROTEIN OF THE P53

FAMILY

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

The present Reply is in response to the Requirement of Restriction and Election mailed by the Examiner herein on December 23, 2003 requesting an election in response to the Examiner's restriction requirement in the above-captioned case. A shortened statutory period for response to the December 23, 2003 Action is set to expire one month from the mailing date of the Action, i.e., January 23, 2004. Thus, this response is timely submitted.

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 26-55 are pending in the present application. In the December 23, 2003 Restriction Requirement the Examiner restricted the claims into two groups, I-II, as follows:

Claims 26-55, drawn to a method for identifying organic non-peptide Group I: compounds useful in the treatment of cancer.

Claim 56, drawn to a method of evaluating whether an organic Group II: compound can promote a wild-type activity in a mutant form of a mammalian protein of the p53 family.

Applicants hereby elect without traverse to prosecute the claims of Group I in this application. Applicants election of Group I subject matter at present, however, does not prejudice applicants' ability to file divisional or continuation applications to unelected subject matter.

Applicants do not believe any additional fees are due in connection with the filing of this response to the April 11, 2003 Restriction Requirement. However, if any fee is due, the Examiner is authorized to charge the fee to applicants' Deposit Account No. 16-1445:

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request prompt consideration of the pending claims and early allowance of the application.

If the Examiner wishes to comment or discuss any aspect of this application or response, applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to call him at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

E. Victor Donahue Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 35,492

Pfizer Inc Patent Dept., 5th Floor 150 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017 (212) 733-2739

Group I: Claims 26-55, drawn to a method of identifying an organic non-peptide compound, classified in class 436, subclass 91.

Group II: Claim 56, drawn to a method of evaluating whether an organic non-peptide compound can promote activity, classified in class 436, subclass 91.

Applicants wish to respectfully refer the Examiner to the restriction requirement imposed by Examiner Jerome D. Goldberg on April 11, 2003. This restriction requirement is the same restriction requirement as the present issued by Examiner Muirhei dated December 23, 2003. As a response to the April 11, 2003 communication, Applicants elected without traverse the claims of Group I (claims 26-55) on May 8, 2003. Applicants herein enclose a copy of Examiner Goldberg's restriction requirement, Applicants response, and stamped postcard received from the U.S.P.T.O.

However, in order to facilitate initial examination, Applicants hereby elect without traverse to prosecute the claims of Group I in this application. Applicants election of Group I subject matter at present, however, does not prejudice Applicants' ability to file divisional or continuation applications to unelected subject matter.

The Examiner has further requested that Applicants elect a specific organic non-peptide compound within the scope of claim 26. However, Applicants respectfully note that Claim 26 is a method claim which does not specify a specific compound to be tested. The method claim should not be limited to identifying specific compounds. The purpose of this method claim is to "identify" organic non-peptide compounds useful in the treatment of cancer. If Applicants are forced to elect a specific organic non-peptide compound, which may be identified by this method, it is asking the Applicants to provide a species of the possible resulting compounds. This request places an impossible burden upon the Applicants and Applicants posit that the Examiner can not impose such a request upon the Applicants.

In order to facilitate further examination, the Examiner has also requested that Applicants identify a "specific method of measurement" within the scope of the presented independent claim. To facilitate further examination, Applicants elect spectroscopy as a species of measurement. However, Applicants note that Claim 26 is not so limited, and the various assay methods provided in the specification (see page 37, lines 10-31) are merely representative examples of the wide spectrum of methods that might be used, all of which

would be immediately apparent to one skilled in the art upon review of the present specification.

Applicants clearly understand that the Examiner's request is only one for election of species (37 CFR §1.146) and not a requirement of restriction (37 CFR §1.142). Therefore upon the expected indication of allowability of the species invention, Applicants will be requesting rejoinder of all other "species". Additionally, since the invention is clearly valuable and pioneering, as it has been disclosed and claimed, Applicants seeks allowance of Claim 26 in generic form without limitation or mention of any specific assay technique.

Applicants do not believe any additional fees are due in connection with the filing of this response to the December 23, 2003 Restriction Requirement. However, if any fee is due, the Examiner is authorized to charge the fee to Applicants' Deposit Account No. 16-1445.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request prompt consideration of the pending claims and early allowance of the application.

If the Examiner wishes to comment or discuss any aspect of this application or response, Applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to call her at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 23, 2004

Zaíra E. Juárez, Ph.D. Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 54,205

Pfizer Inc Patent Dept., 5th Floor 150 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017 (212) 733-1092



United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Department of Commerce United States Patens and Trademark Office Address: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Weshington, D.C. 2023

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/863,976 05/23/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		Farzan Rastinejad	PC10228B	
7:	590 04/11/2003	SEIVEN		
Paul H. Ginsb	urg 5	i song IVI	EXAMINER	
Pfizer Inc 20th Floor			GOLDBERG,	JEROME D
235 East 42nd S New York, NY	10017-5755	APR 15 auc	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1:1	PATENT DEPT NYC	1614	
	1 mg 2	PATENT DEF	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

COPY

<u></u>	-X-P-E>	Application No.	() Application		
••	\Q, \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summa	- 10H 5 8 50-	99/863,976	RASTINEJAD ET	AL.	
Omce Action Summa	A Maria	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Chr. soal	Jerome D Goldberg	1614		
- Th MAILING DATE of this co	mmuni cadon ap	pears on un cover sneet	with the correspondence ad	dress –	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 193). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication					
2a) This action is FINAL.		his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in co closed in accordance with the				e merits is	
Disposition of Claims		an pants darying too			
4) Claim(s) 26-56 is/are pending	g in the applicati	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	l.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected	1.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.				
8) Claim(s) <u>26-56</u> are subject to	restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to	•	<u> </u>			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on					
Applicant may not request that	• •	•••	, ,		
11) The proposed drawing correct			disapproved by the Examination	er.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim/for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsp rson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosur Statement(s) (PTO-		5) Notice	rw Summary (PTO-413) Pap r No of Informal Pat nt Application (PTO		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/863,976

. Art Unit: 1614

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Restriction to one of the following invention is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

Group I: claim(s) 26-55, drawn to a method for identifying organic non-peptide compounds useful in the treatment of cancer.

Group II: claim 56, drawn to a method of evaluating whether an organic compound can promote a wide-type activity in a mutant form of a mammali protein of the p. 53 family.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other, as they have acquired a separate status in the art cancer as a separate subject matter for inventive effect and require independent searches. It is noted that the a reference to one Group I method would not be a reference to Group II method under 35 U.S.C. 103. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes is proper.

Applicant is required to make a provisional election even though this requirement is traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome D. Goldberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-4606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 AM to 3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1614


numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Goldberg/LR March 26, 2003

JEROME D. GOLDBERG PRIMARY EXAMINER





Date Mailed: May 8, 2003 Serial No. 09/863,976 Application of Farzan Rastinejad Entitled METHODS AND COMPOSITION PS3 FAMILY	IS FOR RESTORING CONFI	PC10228B By ZEJ Filing Date May 23, 2001 DRIMATIONAL STABILITY OF A PROTEIN OF THE and Trademark Office on the date stamped hereon: Notice of Appeal
The following, has been received in the Application Transmittal Type: Specification pages Claims pages Claims pages Abstract pages Drawing(s) sheets Declaration with Power of Attorned Priority Document Disclosure Statement Form PTO-FB-A820 (Citation Lie) Sequence Submission (Paper copy Identity Statement Copy of Notice to File Missing Form PTO-FB-A820 (Citation Lie) Reply to Restriction Requirement	eventure of the state of the st	Notice of Appeal Brief (3 copies) Issue Fee Transmittal Fee Address Indication Form Certificate of Correction Petition for Extension of Time Fee Transmittal (2 copies) Associate Power of Attorney Petition for Expedited Issuance for Foreign Filing Ucense Assignment & Recordation Cover Sheet 1st Class Mail Certificate

